UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

Daniel Committee

2008 MAY 27 PM 4: 28

TC): Mus Distric	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	SKOWN TSZ	STRICT COURT	
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/23/2008					
CASE NO.: 08cv0749 BTM (AJB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff DEPUTY					
CASE TITLE: _Stephen v. Bravo					
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: _Plaintiff Response to Order to Show Cause w/Supplemental Forma Pauperis					
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:					
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	4		
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting do	ocumentation		
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper			
	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.				
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely				
	7.1 or 47.1	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document			
	7.1 or 47.1	1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions			
	7.1	Missing table of contents			
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself			
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order			
\bar{X}	Supplemental documents require court order				
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest			
		OTHER:			
\cdot					
		Date for	warded:	5/27/2008	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE					
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:					
I he document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.					
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.				
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambe				d by chambers	
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1					
	CHAMBERS OF: They Moskowste				
Dated: 5/27/08 CHAMBERS OF: They Moskowitz By: King, PSLC					